# Non-Trivial: Question 2 - Balancing Risk and Ambition

Spyridon Manolidis

January & February 2025

# 1 The Problem

Whilst looking for research opportunities as an aspiring physicist, I stumbled upon *Non-Trivial*, a non-profit which supports young people who want to make an altruistic difference with their scientific talents.

I decided that I would like to apply too, and after submitting some personal information, I was given some very challenging brain-teasers! Of these, I was particularly intrigued by Question 2:

You are playing a game where the incentive is to maximise your score.

You play the game with four other randomly selected players.

You must pick a number, X, and your score is calculated using the following formula:

Score = 
$$X \cdot (50 - \sum_{i=1}^{4} (X_i) - X)$$
 (1)

Where  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$  and  $X_4$  are the number picked by the other players.

## 2 Solutions

#### 2.1 The No-Risk Solution

Consider the following scenarios:

- 1. If  $\sum_{i=1}^{4} (X_i)$ , the sum of everyone else's numbers, is a very large positive number then  $(50 \sum_{i=1}^{4} (X_i) X)$  becomes a very large negative number. This means that we could pick a negative number which would allow us to get a high score. However, choosing too large a number risks making  $(50 \sum_{i=1}^{4} (X_i) X)$  positive, thus giving us a negative score.
- 2. Similarly, If  $\sum_{i=1}^{4} (X_i)$  is a very large negative number,  $(50 \sum_{i=1}^{4} (X_i) X)$  becomes a very large positive number. Thus, we could pick a positive number to get a high score, but if our number is too big,  $(50 \sum_{i=1}^{4} (X_i) X)$  turns negative and we risk having a negative score yet again.

Using graphical methods will show you the same thing, that is: either you will always get a negative score or it will peak at a point and then collapse to negativity. Therefore, because the probability of having a negative number is greater than the probability of having a positive number, the best answer using this logic should be **0**, as this ensures that we never get a negative number.

## 2.2 The Altruistic Approach

Considering that this problem was for an application for an altruistic company, then we should all demonstrate our altruism by taking a risk and trying to maximise all of our scores.

If we all pick the same number, X, then our scores become X \* (50-5X), which is a simple quadratic  $(-5X^2 + 50X)$ . This has an easily calculable maximum at (5, 125), which means that we should all pick **5** to give us all a score of 125.

### 2.3 Considering All Possibilities Using Sums

Let's start by defining a function f(x, y) which represents our score. Here, x represents the number we chose and  $y = \sum_{i=1}^{4} (X_i)$ , the sum of everyone else's numbers. Thus, using the information given to us in the question, we can deduce:

$$f(x,y) = x \cdot (50 - x - y)$$
(2)

We can set a constant y and see how varying x affects our score. For example, setting y = 5 will give us f(x, 5), which is  $x \cdot (50 - x - 5)$ . No matter what we change y to, we should always get a graph that is a negative parabola.

But we want to consider all the numbers everyone else chooses - people are random and can have some pretty wild choices!

The way we would do this is by summing up all of the functions at different values of y (e.g. (f(x, -2) + f(x, -1) + f(x, 0) + f(x, 1) + f(x, 2) + ...)). This would give us a function g(x) whose maximum (if it has one) would be the optimal solution, as it would take into account all the functions.

Let's say we want g for now to only consider the functions where y is an integer and  $-10 \le y \le 10$ . We can define g as:

$$g(x) = \sum_{i=-10}^{10} f(x,i) = \sum_{i=-10}^{10} \left( x \cdot (50 - x - i) \right)$$
(3)

Notice that the lower and upper bounds of y are the lower and upper bounds of the summation. Thus, we can deduce that for  $y : y \in \mathbb{Z}, -u \leq y \leq u, g$  is:

$$g(x) = \sum_{i=-u}^{u} f(x,i) = \sum_{i=-u}^{u} (x \cdot (50 - x - i))$$
(4)

Putting this into a professional tool such as Wolfram Alpha, Equation 4 becomes:

$$g(x) = -x \cdot ((x - 50) \cdot (2u + 1)) \tag{5}$$

We see that for any (positive) u, there is a maximum at x = 25, even as u approaches infinity  $(\lim_{u\to\infty})$ . Thus, we can at last conclude that the optimal solution must be **25**.

# 3 My Judgement

I ended up picking 5 because I feel it was a real way to demonstrate my altruism. Please note that this entire document was written in a day (although the thought process took much longer), thus there may be some mistakes (especially with my logic in Section 2.3). With that said, thank you for reading!